(18)

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR, CHHATTISGARH

SINGLE BENCH: HON'BLE SHRI S.R. NAYAK, CJ.

WRIT PETITION NO.2278 OF 2006.

PETITIONER — Panch Ram Suryavansi, S/o. Shri Narmada Prasad Suryavansi, aged about 29 years, Ward Member of Ward No.10, Municipal Corporation Bilaspur, R/o. Jarhabhata- Manjhawapara, Bilaspur. (C.G.)

Vs.

RESPONDENTS

1. State Government of C.G., Through the Secretary, Revenue Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur.
2. The Secretary, Department of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes Welfare, D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur (C.G.)
3. The Superintendent of Police, Bilaspur, District-Bilaspur (C.G.)
4. The Station House Officer, Police Station – A.JA.K. (Harijan Kalyan), Bilaspur, District-Bilaspur (C.G.)
5. Mahendra Sharma, s/o. Bal Govind Sharma, aged about 36 years, R/o. Vidya Nagar, Bilaspur (C.G.)

Present: ShriManoj Mishra, learned counsel for the petitioner.
Shri Utkarsh Verma, learned Dy. Govt. Advocate for the State.

ORAL ORDER
(Passed on 3rd May, 2006)

It is not the case that the complaint lodged by the petitioner is not registered as a crime. Admittedly, the F.I.R. is registered as a crime and Police have been investigating. The sum and substance of the allegation in the writ petition is that the jurisdictional police are not investigating in the way expected by the petitioner. That could hardly be a ground for this Court to step in under Article 226 of the Constitution and interfere with the police investigation. The crime was registered in the month of

December 2005. It is not that after the registration of the crime, the local police are sleeping over the matters for years. The writ petition is, therefore, dismissed. No costs.

Sd/-
Chief Justice

Brajesh